

GCSE, GCE Post-Results Services

- Clerical re-checks
- Reviews of marking
- Access to scripts

Information and guidance to centres for examinations taken in November 2021 examination series











Awarding body contact points

Awarding body	Online registration, submission and information	Telephone number	Postal address	
	Please submit requests online		(Only make requests by form if online application is not possible)	
AQA	Centre Services https://onlineservices.aqa.org.uk/	0800 197 7162	Where centres are unable to submit a request using Centre Services, they should contact AQA by phone	
OCR	Interchange www.ocr.org.uk	01223 553998	Where centres are unable to submit a request using Interchange, they should contact the OCR Customer Support Centre by phone	
	To register please visit www.ocr.org.uk or contact the OCR Customer Support Centre by phone			
Pearson	Edexcel Online www.edexcelonline.com	excel Online please go International centres: +44 (0) 1204 770696 Online, they show Customer Service		
	To register for Edexcel Online please go to: https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/contact-us.html			
WJEC	WJEC Secure Website www.wjecservices.co.uk	01443 845619 Post-Results Services WJEC		
	To register please send an email request to securewebsite@wjec.co.uk quoting centre number, centre name and contact name.		Unit A16/17 Gwaelod Y Garth Road Treforest Industrial Estate Pontypridd Rhondda Cynon Taf CF37 5XF	

Contents

Section One: General
1. Introduction
2. Key dates and deadlines for the November 2021 series

2. Key dates and deadlines for the November 2021 series	
3. Fees	5
Section Two: Reviews of Results (RoRs) and appeals	
4. Reviews of Results (RoRs)	7
4.1 Centre responsibilities	7
4.2 Candidate consent	7
4.3 Review of Results services	7
4.4 Submission of requests	9
4.5 Acknowledgement	9
4.6 Outcome of reviews	10
4.7 Centre concern about the marking of a cohort	10
4.8 Uniform Mark Scale (UMS) Marks - unitised GCE and GCSE qualifications	11
4.9 Candidate malpractice	11
5. Appeals	12
Section Three: Access to Scripts	
6. Access to Scripts (ATS)	14
6.1 Arrangements for access to marked examination scripts	14
6.2 Conditions of Access to Scripts (ATS) service	14
6.3 Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking	14
6.4 Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning	15
6.5 Mark schemes	15
6.6 Disposal of scripts	15
6.7 General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)	15
Appendix A - Clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and appeals - Candidate consent form	17
Appendix B - Candidate consent form for access to and use of examination scripts	18

Section One: General

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Key dates and deadlines for the November 2021 series
- 3. Fees

1. Introduction

- **1.1** This booklet contains three sections:
 - Section One: provides an overview of the post-results services process;
 - Section Two: refers to Reviews of Results (clerical re-check, review of marking and appeals);
 - Section Three: refers to Access to Scripts.
- This booklet sets out the common arrangements for post-results services. However, awarding bodies may offer additional post-results services. As the awarding bodies will publish their own administrative guidelines on post-results services, please read this booklet together with the information issued by the awarding bodies. Centres should refer to awarding bodies' websites.
- **1.3** This booklet **does not** cover missing and incomplete results services (MIRs) which address results reported as:
 - 'No Result' (X);
 - 'Partial Absence' (e.g. D#);
 - 'Pending' (Q).

To query such a result, please refer to the relevant documentation supplied by the individual awarding body.

The awarding body will investigate the query and will report the outcome without charge.

Most queries can be resolved on the designated results day through processing checks.

Candidates following unitised GCSE[†], GCE AS and GCE A-level specifications[†] who have achieved enough unit results for a qualification award, but have not claimed certification, may do so retrospectively through their centre. The centre **must** submit the request to the relevant awarding body **before** the published deadline for the series concerned. Each awarding body will publish its own administrative guidelines, which will detail the method of submitting requests and any fee for this service.

[†]Centres following unitised GCSE, GCE AS and A-level specifications in Wales and Northern Ireland.

Where a centre has concerns about the marking of a component, reviews should be submitted as soon as possible for all candidates who wish to challenge the marking of the paper. This will enable the awarding body to take a holistic view of the quality of marking and initiate any investigative action which may be required in a timely fashion.

Candidates must provide their written consent for reviews of marking.

Important: Awarding bodies and UCAS strongly advise candidates and/or their centres to inform their university or college choices that a review of results has been requested. By informing them, they may be able to keep the candidate's place open until the review has been completed.

An awarding body **will not** inform UCAS or others that a review of marking has been requested. However, it will advise UCAS of any grade change arising from a review.

Full details on the guidance provided by UCAS may be found at:

https://www.ucas.com/undergraduate/results-confirmation-and-clearing/results/exam-reviews-and-appeals

2. Key dates and deadlines for the November 2021 series

2.1 To enable awarding bodies to provide an efficient service, it is essential that centres meet the following deadlines. Please note that requests **must** be submitted to awarding bodies by the relevant closing date.

Centres **must** submit requests online via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

2.2 Key dates and deadlines for the November 2021 series

Key Date	Reviews of Results (RoRs)	Access to Scripts (ATS)
16 Dec 2021	 Issue of GCE AS and A-level results Clerical re-checks and reviews of marking may be requested now 	 GCE AS and A-level scripts Centres may request copies of GCE AS and A-level scripts to support reviews of marking and/or teaching and learning
13 Jan 2022		DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCE AS and A-level scripts to support reviews of marking
13 Jan 2022	 Issue of GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics - Numeracy and Welsh Language results Clerical re-checks and reviews of marking may be requested now 	 GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics Numeracy and Welsh Language scripts Centres may request copies of GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics - Numeracy and Welsh Language scripts to support reviews of marking and/or teaching and learning
27 Jan 2022		DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics - Numeracy and Welsh Language scripts to support reviews of marking
3 Feb 2022	DEADLINE for GCE AS and A-level Reviews of Results (RoRs): Last date for awarding bodies to receive requests	DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCE AS and A-level scripts to support teaching and learning
17 Feb 2022	DEADLINE for GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics - Numeracy and Welsh Language Reviews of Results (RoRs): Last date for awarding bodies to receive requests	DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics - Numeracy and Welsh Language scripts to support teaching and learning
24 Feb 2022	 Issue of all other GCSE results Clerical re-checks and reviews of marking may be requested now 	 Centres may request copies of GCSE scripts (all other subjects) to support reviews of marking and/or teaching and learning
10 Mar 2022		DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCSE scripts (all other subjects) to support reviews of marking
31 Mar 2022	DEADLINE for GCSE (all other subjects) Reviews of Results (RoRs): Last date for awarding bodies to receive requests	DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCSE scripts (all other subjects) to support teaching and learning

- 2.3 Requests received after the respective closing date will not be accepted.

 Further information can be found in section 4.5 Acknowledgement, page 9.
- 2.4 The receipt of scripts may be delayed if a centre has requested a review of marking in the same unit/component. In exceptional cases, where results have been issued after the normal publication date, the closing date for requests will be extended by the same period as the delay.

3. Fees **3.1** Fees for post-results services (Access to Scripts, clerical re-checks and reviews of marking) are set independently and published by the awarding bodies.

Section Two: Reviews of Results (RoRs) and appeals

- 4. Reviews of Results (RoRs)
- 5. Appeals

4. Reviews of Results (RoRs)

4.1 Centre responsibilities

4.1.1 Relevant centre staff **must** be fully aware of the post-results process, including the published deadlines for clerical re-checks and reviews of marking.

Senior members of centre staff **must** be accessible to candidates immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Candidates **must** be informed of the periods during which centre staff will be available so that they may plan accordingly.

For internal candidates, awarding bodies will **only** accept requests for reviews of marking from centres and not from candidates or their parents. Centres **must** ensure that they have a process in place for internal candidates to appeal the centre's decision not to pursue a review of marking.

Private candidates are encouraged to submit requests for reviews of marking via their centre. However, they may submit a request directly to an awarding body. Private candidates are identified by the centre when submitting entries. Awarding bodies will use this information to validate requests that come directly from candidates. The awarding body will advise private candidates of the process for reviews of marking.

4.2 Candidate consent

4.2.1 Centres **must** obtain written candidate consent for clerical re-checks and reviews of marking, as with these services candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered. Failure to do so is considered centre malpractice.

Candidate consent for clerical re-checks and reviews of marking **must** be obtained after the publication of results.

- Candidates must be informed that their marks and subject grades may be lowered and must provide their written consent before a request is submitted.
 (A suggested form for centres to use is included as Appendix A, page 17.
 Written consent from the candidate is also acceptable by email.)
- An online request carries with it confirmation to the awarding body that the candidate's written consent has been obtained. (The submission of a signed request form does likewise.)
- Consent forms or emails from candidates must be retained by the centre and kept for at least six months following the outcome of the clerical re-check or review of marking or any subsequent appeal. The awarding bodies reserve the right to request such documentation.

4.3 Review of Results services

4.3.1 Service 1 (Clerical re-check)

- Submit the request online.
- Candidate consent is required and must be held on file by the centre (see section 4.2, above).
- The request **must** be received by the awarding body by the relevant deadline on **page 3**.
- The deadline for completion is within 10 calendar days of the awarding body receiving the request (excluding the period 24 December 2021 – 1 January 2022).

This service will include the following checks:

- that all parts of the script have been marked;
- the totalling of marks;
- · the recording of marks.

The outcome of the clerical re-check will be reported along with a statement of the total marks awarded for each unit, or component, included in the enquiry.

Only Service 1 clerical re-checks can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests).

4.3.2 Service 2 (Review of marking)

This is a post-results review of the original marking to ensure that the mark scheme has been applied correctly.

A marking error can occur because of:

- an administrative error:
- a failure to apply the mark scheme where a task has only a 'right' or 'wrong' answer;
- an unreasonable exercise of academic judgement.

The awarding body will train its reviewers to conduct reviews of marking accurately and consistently. Reviewers **will not** re-mark the script. They will only act to correct any errors identified in the original marking.

The service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE and GCSE specifications.

- · Submit the request online.
- Candidate consent is required and must be held on file by the centre (see section 4.2, page 7).
- The request **must** be received by the awarding body by the relevant deadline on **page 3**.
- The deadline for completion is within 20 calendar days of the awarding body receiving the request (excluding the period 24 December 2021 1 January 2022).

This service will include:

- the clerical re-checks detailed in Service 1;
- a review of marking as described above.

Where a centre is concerned about the marking of an entire centre cohort, please refer to **section 4.7, page 10.**

Centres are strongly advised to submit requests for reviews of marking for any candidate, by subject, for whom they have concerns at the earliest opportunity. This should include all components/units, looking at the subject as a whole, where the centre considers a marking error may have occurred. The awarding body will then be able to take a holistic view of the quality of marking and initiate any investigative action which may be required in a timely fashion.

4.4 Submission of requests

Centres must submit requests online via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

- **4.4.1** The published post-results services are the only mechanism by which concerns about errors in the original marking will be addressed.
- **4.4.2** Where a centre is unable to use an awarding body's extranet site, the centre **must** contact the awarding body immediately by telephone.
- **4.4.3** Letters of concern **cannot** be accepted as requests. Centres with such concerns **must** use the published post-results services. Centres **must not** submit letters of concern with their requests.
- **4.4.4** All requests for internal candidates **must** be submitted (and thus supported by the centre) by an authorised member of centre staff. Before submitting a request, centres should check all details are correct including candidate name, number and component code.

Requests for private candidates may either be submitted through the centre or submitted directly to an awarding body.

Awarding bodies **will not** accept requests submitted by any other individuals, e.g. by parents/carers.

- 4.4.5 Centres must have in place a published formal appeals procedure for use in cases where centres and candidates, or their parents/carers, cannot agree as to whether a review of results should be submitted. The formal appeals procedure must be made widely available. Centres must therefore draw the appeals procedure to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers. In deciding whether to support a review of results, centres should take account of all relevant factors and afford candidates or their parents/carers a reasonable opportunity to express their views. Centres are encouraged to extend the formal appeals procedure to any private candidates. As regards internal candidates, awarding bodies can only enter into discussions over post-results services with centres. Awarding bodies will accept requests for post-results services directly from private candidates.
- **4.4.6** Candidates **must** provide their written consent for clerical re-checks and reviews of marking after the publication of results (**see section 4.2, page 7,** on candidate consent).
- **4.4.7** Requests for unitised subjects **must** be made within the enquiry period immediately following the publication of results for the unit. **Requests cannot** be made retrospectively for previous series.
- **4.4.8** Please see awarding body contact points (on page ii) for details of submitting requests to awarding bodies.
- **4.4.9** Awarding bodies **may not** cancel an enquiry after submission.

4.5 Acknowledgement

- 4.5.1 All requests will be acknowledged within 7 working days.
- 4.5.2 If an acknowledgement is not received within this period, centres should presume that the request has not been received and must contact the awarding body immediately. Centres should also regularly check the progress of the request.
- **4.5.3** If contact is not made until after the deadline for submission of post-results services, the awarding body is not obliged to proceed with the request online.

4.6 Outcome of reviews

- **4.6.1** The outcome of each review will be confirmed by the respective awarding body.
- **4.6.2** The awarding body will provide a reason for the decision of a review of marking. If the mark has changed, the reason will either be that an administrative error has occurred or there was a marking error. A marking error would occur where an examiner has not correctly applied the mark scheme or any other relevant procedure, i.e.
 - if the 'right' mark was not given in a task where there is a 'right' or 'wrong' mark;
 - if there has been an unreasonable exercise of academic judgement[†].
- **4.6.3** Where a grade changes and a certificate has previously been issued, a replacement will be provided showing the revised grade once the centre has returned the original certificate to the awarding body.
- **4.6.4** UCAS will be advised of any changes to GCE grades. (Centres **must** familiarise themselves with UCAS arrangements:

https://www.ucas.com/advisers/?tile=tile-471.)

4.6.5 Where there has been a reduction in marks or a downgrade following a review of marking, the request cannot be revoked and the original mark or grade will not be reinstated.

4.7 Centre concern about the marking of a cohort

- **4.7.1** If a centre has concerns about one of its component/subject cohorts, then it should submit requests for reviews of marking for **all** candidates they believe to be affected. This will enable the awarding body to take a holistic view of the quality of marking and allow them to take any corrective action which may be required in a timely fashion.
 - Candidates must provide their written consent for reviews of marking.
- **4.7.2** Centres **must** obtain written candidate consent for reviews of marking **after** the publication of results, as with these services candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered.
 - Candidates **must** be informed of this possible outcome and provide their written consent **before** an application is submitted. A suggested form for centres to use is included as **Appendix A, page 17.** Written consent from the candidate is also acceptable by email.
 - Consent forms or emails from candidates **must** be retained by the centre and kept for at least six months following the outcome of the review of marking or any subsequent appeal. The awarding bodies reserve the right to inspect such documentation
 - An online request carries with it confirmation to the awarding body that the candidate's written consent has been obtained. The submission of a signed request form does likewise.
- **4.7.3** An awarding body is obliged to take further investigative action if there is a significant trend in under or over marking.
- **4.7.4** Where an awarding body initiates investigative action candidates' marks and subject grades are **not** automatically protected. Candidates' marks and subject grades may therefore be lowered, confirmed or raised.

[†] An academic judgement is what is involved when assessing. It is possible for different examiners reasonably to reach different judgements. Unreasonableness in academic judgement occurs where the mark given is one that no reasonable examiner could properly have awarded.

4.8 Uniform Mark Scale (UMS) Marks – unitised GCE and GCSE qualifications

- **4.8.1** An explanation of how uniform marks are calculated may be found on awarding body websites. However, the following points may be helpful.
 - Raw marks are the marks that are recorded by examiners. The maximum raw mark differs according to the unit/component.
 - Candidates' results in unitised subjects are reported as uniform marks. These are fixed for all units/components with equal weighting.
 - Uniform marks are calculated from raw marks.
 - There will not necessarily be an obvious direct relationship between raw and uniform marks.
 - Small variations in raw marks may in some circumstances lead to larger UMS differences.
 - Awarding bodies will monitor the outcome of reviews of marking in terms of raw marks and not uniform marks.

4.9 Candidate malpractice

4.9.1 If candidate malpractice is discovered during a review of marking, the script will be processed in accordance with the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice – Policies and Procedures*. Candidates may lose some or all of their marks, consequently affecting grades awarded.

5. Appeals

The appeals process is available to centres and private candidates after receiving the outcome of a review of results. Reference should be made to the JCQ publication *A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes*. This booklet provides full details of the awarding bodies' appeals processes and the associated timescales. It is available on the JCQ website:

http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals

- **5.2** Appeals can only be submitted after the outcome of a review of results has been reported to the centre.
- In the case of internal candidates, only the head of centre can submit an appeal to the relevant awarding body.
- **5.4** Appeals **must** be made in writing and clearly state the grounds for appeal.
- Awarding bodies may charge a fee for appeals. This fee will be refunded if the appeal is upheld.

Centres **must** have in place a published formal appeals procedure for use in cases where centres and candidates, or their parents/carers, cannot agree as to whether an appeal should be submitted to the relevant awarding body. The formal appeals procedure **must** be made widely available. Centres **must** therefore draw the appeals procedure to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers.

In deciding whether to support an appeal, centres should take account of all relevant factors and afford candidates or their parents/carers a reasonable opportunity to express their views. In relation to internal candidates, awarding bodies can only enter into discussions over appeals with centres. Awarding bodies will accept appeals directly from private candidates.

Section Three: Access to Scripts

6. Access to Scripts (ATS)

6. Access to Scripts (ATS)

Centres may request:

- copies of scripts to support reviews of marking; and/or
- · copies of scripts to support teaching and learning.

Centres **must** submit requests online via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

Centre staff must be fully aware of the guidelines controlling these arrangements.

Centres **must** submit a request on behalf of a private candidate when asked to do so.

6.1 Arrangements for access to marked examination scripts

- **6.1.1** Awarding bodies will provide access to marked scripts for the following examinations:
 - GCE AS and A-level
 - GCSE.
- **6.1.2** A 'script' refers to the written work of a candidate which has resulted from an externally assessed component.
- **6.1.3** Additional information on the interpretation of marked examination scripts may be found on awarding bodies' websites.

6.2 Conditions of Access to Scripts (ATS) service

Unless otherwise stated, references to 'candidates' includes both internal candidates and private candidates. Awarding bodies will only release copies of scripts to centres under the following conditions.

6.2.1 Prior written permission **must** be obtained from any candidate where the centre intends to request his/her script(s).

This permission **must only** be sought **after** the candidates have received their results for the respective examination series. Candidates who grant their permission have the right to anonymity of their scripts before use.

A specimen form for centre staff when seeking a candidate's written permission to request and use scripts is provided in **Appendix B, page 18.** Written consent from the candidate is also acceptable by email.

6.2.2 Scripts **must only** be seen by teachers who are members of staff at that centre or within a consortium of centres, or returned directly to candidates.

6.3 Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking

Centres must submit requests online via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

- **6.3.1** Centres will be able to request copies of GCE AS, GCE A-level and GCSE scripts before deciding whether to request a review of marking.
- **6.3.2** Requests **must** be submitted to awarding bodies no later than:
 - GCE AS and A-level scripts: 13 January 2022;
 - GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics Numeracy and Welsh Language scripts: 27 January 2022;
 - GCSE scripts (All other subjects): 10 March 2022.
- **6.3.3** Requests received after the deadline will not be accepted.

6.3.4 A centre that requires a copy of the script which has been subject to either a clerical re-check or a review of marking should refer to the relevant awarding body's website for further information.

6.4 Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Centres **must** submit requests online via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

- **6.4.1** Requests **must** be submitted to awarding bodies no later:
 - GCE AS and A-level scripts: 3 February 2022;
 - GCSE English Language, Mathematics, Mathematics Numeracy and Welsh Language scripts: 17 February 2022;
 - GCSE scripts (All other subjects): 31 March 2022.
- 6.4.2 Requests received after the deadline will not be accepted.

6.5 Mark schemes

6.5.1 A copy of the relevant mark scheme will be made available to centres by the awarding body, normally after the publication of results.

6.6 Disposal of scripts

- **6.6.1** Where teachers have used copies of candidates' scripts for teaching and learning purposes but no longer wish to retain them, they **must** ensure that the scripts are disposed of in a confidential manner.
- **6.6.2** With the exception of archive material, awarding bodies **do not** keep candidates' responses indefinitely. This includes examination scripts and electronic script images.

6.7 General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)

6.7.1 Examination scripts are exempt from Subject Access Requests under the provisions of the GDPR.

Appendices

Appendix A - Clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and appeals - candidate consent form

Appendix B - Candidate consent form for access to and use of examination scripts

Appendix A - Clerical rechecks, reviews of marking and appeals



AQA OCR Pearson WJEC

Candidate consent form

Information for candidates

The following information explains what may happen following a clerical re-check, a review of marking and any subsequent appeal.

If your school or college submits a request for a clerical re-check or a review of the original marking, and then a subsequent appeal, for one of your examinations after your subject grade has been issued, there are three possible outcomes:

- Your original mark is lowered, so your final grade may be lower than the original grade you
 received.
- Your original mark is confirmed as correct, so there is no change to your grade.
- Your original mark is raised, so your final grade may be higher than the original grade you
 received.

To proceed with the clerical re-check or review of marking, you **must** sign the form below. This tells the head of your school or college that you have understood what the outcome might be, and that you give your consent to the clerical re-check or review of marking being submitted.

Candidate consent form

Centre number	Centre name	
Candidate number	Candidate name	
Details of review (Awarding Body, Qualification level, Subject title, component/unit)		
I give my consent to the head of my school or college to submit a clerical re-check or a review of marking for the examination(s) listed above. In giving consent I understand that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded to me following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded for this subject.		
Signed:	Date:	

This form should be retained on the centre's files for at least six months following the outcome of the clerical re-check, review of marking or any subsequent appeal.

Appendix B - Candidate consent form for access to and use of examination scripts



AQA OCR Pearson	WJEC			
Centre number	Centre name			
Candidate number	Candidate name			
Qualification level/subject	Component/unit code			
☐ I consent to my scripts being accessed by my centre.				
Tick ONE of the boxes below:				
If any of my scripts are used in the classroom, I do not wish anyone to know they are mine. My name and candidate number must be removed.				
If any of my scripts are used in the classroom, I have no objection to other people knowing they are mine.				
Signed:	Date:			

This form should be retained on the centre's files for at least six months.